1	STEVEN W. MYHRE	
2	Acting United States Attorney PETER S. LEVITT	
3	Assistant United States Attorney 501 Las Vegas Blvd. South, Suite 1100 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101	
4	(702) 388-6336 Peter.S.Levitt@usdoj.gov	
5	Attorneys for United States of America	
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
7	DISTRICT	OF NEVADA
8	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Case No.: 2:11-cr-00354-GMN-GWF
9	Plaintiff,	
10	vs.	Government's Application for an Order Deeming the Attorney-
11	JOHN ABELL,	Client Privilege Waived
12	Defendant.	
13		
14	The United States of America, by	y and through undersigned counsel,
15	respectfully requests that this Court enter an order deeming the Defendant's	
16	attorney-client privilege in the above-captioned case waived with respect to	
17	Leslie Park, Esq. This order is sought for the following reasons:	
18	1. After the jury found Abell guilty of Attempted Coercion and	
19		
20	Enticement of a Minor under 18 U.S.C. § 2422(b), this Court imposed	
21	sentence (ECF No. 112) (Mar. 20, 2014)	4), Abell appealed, and, on July 27,
22	2015, the Ninth Circuit issued a memorandum opinion rejecting Abell's	
23	assignments of error and affirming his conviction and sentence. ECF No. 136	

- 2. On February 3, 2017, Abell filed a motion pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2255 to vacate, set aside, or correct sentence by a person in federal custody. ECF No. 141. The Court ordered the government to respond by June 22, 2017. ECF No. 144.
- 3. In his motion, Abell raises several allegations of ineffective assistance of his trial attorney, Ms. Park. He contends, for example, that his attorney: 1) "never discussed" the effect of the Sentencing Guidelines in the context of a negotiated plea agreement (ECF No. 141 at 5,  $\P17(a)(1)$ ); 2) failed to introduce evidence that Abell "believe[s] . . . would have been favorable" (*id.* at 6,  $\P17(b)(1)$ ); 3) failed to discover allegedly exculpatory evidence (*id.* at 7,  $\P17(c)(1)$ ); and 4) "deliberately lie[d]" about the possibility of having Abell's son testify at trial. *Id.* at 8,  $\P17(d)(1)$ ); *see also id.* at 9-10 (asserting ineffective "fail[ure] to object" and "cruel and unusual punishment").
- 4. Access to information from Abell's former trial counsel is necessary to appropriately respond to the allegations contained in the § 2255 motion.
- 5. The government therefore requests that this Court issue an order deeming the attorney-client privilege in the above-captioned case waived for the purposes of this proceeding as to the contentions in Abell's motion regarding trial counsel's actions and communications with him, and ordering all materials and information related thereto be divulged to the government.

6. Abell's voluntary disclosure of privileged communications with Ms. Park constitutes a waiver of the privilege as to all other such communications on the same subject. See, e.g., Weil v. Investment/
Indicators, Research & Management, 647 F.2d 18, 24 (9th Cir. 1981); Clady v. County of Los Angeles, 770 F.2d 1421, 1433 (9th Cir. 1985), cert. denied, 475 U.S. 1109 (1986).

Even when a party does not explicitly disclose the content of an attorney-client communication, he may waive the privilege implicitly. A person cannot always claim that he relied on counsel, while protecting what was said between them from disclosure. As we have said, "The privilege which protects attorney-client communications may not be used both as a sword and a shield. Where a party raises a claim which in fairness requires disclosure of the protected communication, the privilege may be implicitly waived." (citations omitted).

United States v. Ortland, 109 F.3d 539, 543 (9th Cir.), cert. denied, 522 U.S. 851 (1997); see also Bittaker v. Woodford, 331 F.3d 715, 716 (9th Cir. 2003) ("[W]here a habeas petitioner raises a claim of ineffective assistance of counsel, he waives the attorney-client privilege as to all communications with his allegedly ineffective lawyer.") (citing Wharton v. Calderon, 127 F.3d 1201, 1203 (9th Cir. 1997)).

7. Abell is currently incarcerated, with a projected release date of June 10, 2020.

WHEREFORE, based on the foregoing, the government respectfully requests that the attorney-client privilege in 2:11-cr-354-GMN-GWF be deemed waived as to those matters Defendant has put at issue in his motion to vacate, set aside or correct sentence. Further, the government respectfully requests that this Court order Ms. Park to provide the government with an affidavit or declaration, as well as any relevant materials and information, addressing all of the contentions Defendant raises in his motion, within 30 days after the date of the Court's order.

Dated this 9th day of May, 2017.

STEVEN W. MYHRE Acting United States Attorney

<u>s/Peter S. Levitt</u>PETER S. LEVITTAssistant United States Attorney

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned certifies that the foregoing was served was filed and
served via CM/ECF upon counsel of record, Rebecca Levy, Esq., with the
Clerk of the Court for the United States District Court, District of Nevada;
and a true and correct copy sent to the Defendant via first-class mail, at the
following address

John Abell #46310-048 FCI Butner - Low Federal Correctional Institution P.O. Box 999 Butner, NC 27509 Pro se Defendant

Dated: May 9, 2017

<u>s/Peter S. Levitt</u>PETER S. LEVITTAssistant United States Attorney

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA

1

2	-oOo-	
3	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	)
4	Plaintiff,	) Case No.: 2:11-cr-00354-GMN-GWF
5	vs.	) )
6	JOHN ABELL,	) ) ORDER
7 8	Defendant.	) ) _)
9		
10	Based upon the pending application of t	he Government, and good cause appearing,
	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED the	at the attorney-client privilege in 2:11-cr-
11	00354-GMN-GWF between the defendar	nt and Leslie Park, Esq., shall be deemed
12	waived for all purposes relating to Defer	ndant's motion pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2255
13	to vacato, sot asido or correct sontoneo h	ay a norson in fodoral custody. Ms. Park
14	to vacate, set aside or correct sentence by a person in federal custody. Ms. Park	
15	shall, within 30 days of this order, provi	de the government with an affidavit or
16	declaration addressing all of the conter	ntions of ineffective assistance of counsel in
17	Defendant's motion.	
18		Government's Motion for Extension of Time to  D. The Government shall have an additional 30
	days, after receiving Ms. Park's affidavit or dec	claration, to file its response to Defendant's 2255
19	motion. Defendant's Reply shall be due 14 day	ys after the Government's Response is filed.
20	Q	
21	DATED this9 day of June, 20	017.
22		
23		Gloria M Navarro, Chief Judge
24		United States District Court